

REMARKS

In the Office Action¹, the Examiner objected to the drawings for not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims; rejected claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph; rejected claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph; and stated that claims 1-9 would be allowable if the 35 U.S.C § 112 rejections are overcome.

By this amendment, Applicant cancels claims 1-9 and adds new claims 10-18. Claims 10-18 remain pending in this Application.

The Examiner alleges that language from independent claims 1, 5, and 7 was inconsistent with the subject matter set forth in Figure 6. Claims 1, 5, and 7 have been cancelled and therefore, the drawing objection is moot. In addition, new independent claims 10, 14, and 16 recite "determining a first time of a first acceleration vector size that is less than a first predetermined value," which is consistent with Figure 6.

Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the drawing objection.

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled and therefore, the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph is moot. Furthermore, new independent claims 10, 14, and 16 recite "determining a first time of a first acceleration vector size that is less than a first predetermined value," which is consistent with the written description, including the portions noted by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant submits that new claims 10-18 comply with 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph.

¹ The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicants declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled and therefore, the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph is moot. Furthermore, new independent claims 10, 14, and 16 recite, "an acceleration vector detecting section for synthesizing the continuously detected acceleration into a plurality of acceleration vectors." Accordingly, Applicant submits that new claims 10-18 comply with 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 7, 2007

By:

Michael R. Kelly
Reg. No. 33,921

